OFFICE OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY (OCP) REVIEW

NDA	211284 (<u>EDR Link</u>)
Date of Submission	Jan 16, 2018
Generic Names	Lamivudine (3TC) and Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (TDF)
Clinical Pharmacology Review Team	Vikram Arya, Ph.D., FCP, Islam Younis, Ph.D.
OCP Division	Division of Clinical Pharmacology 4
OND Division	Division of Antiviral Products (DAVP)
Applicant	Celltrion, INC
Application Type	505 (b) (2)
Formulation; strength(s) to-be- marketed	Fixed Dose Combination (FDC) Tablets; 300 mg 3TC/300 mg TDF
Submission Type	NDA Submitted under the PEPFAR Program
Review Type	Standard Review

Overview

Celltrion INC (applicant) is seeking approval of Lamivudine (3TC)/Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (TDF) 300 mg/300 mg FDC tablets for use under the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) program. The individual reference products, Epivir® (lamivudine 300 mg tablets) of GlaxoSmithKline, USA and Viread® (Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate 300 mg tablets) of Gilead Sciences, Inc. were used as reference products in trial CT-G02 1.2, a single dose relative bioavailability trial conducted under fasting conditions and CT-G02 1.1, a single dose relative bioavailability trial conducted under fed conditions.

The results from both trials showed that geometric mean ratio and 90 % confidence intervals of C_{max} and $AUC_{0-\infty}$ for <u>3TC</u> and <u>TFV</u> (under fasting conditions) and <u>3TC</u> and <u>TFV</u> (under fed conditions) after administration of the test and reference product lie within the pre-specified 20 % boundary for demonstrating similarity in systemic exposures. Please refer to the individual reviews for additional information. The Office of Study Integrity and Surveillance (OSIS) recommended acceptance of data from the clinical and bioanalytical sites for both trials without an on-site inspection. Please refer to OSIS's review dated February 26, 2018 for details.

The applicant did not provide adequate storage stability data to cover the entire duration of sample storage. For both trials, 44 days of storage stability data at ~-70 °C was provided whereas the samples were stored for 134 days (for samples collected in trial CT-G02 1.2) and for 129 days (for samples collected in trial CT-G02 1.1). The applicant will be requested to provide additional storage stability data to cover the entire duration of sample storage and an addendum to this review will be submitted after the receipt and review of the additional storage stability data.

Recommendation

The Office of Clinical Pharmacology (OCP) has reviewed the information in this NDA and the information provided supports the approval of the application pending acceptability of the additional storage stability data.

Individual Trial Reviews

Trial #: CT-G02 1.2 ((b) (4) **Study #** (b) (4))

Title

A Single Center, Single-Dose, Open-Label, Laboratory-Blind, Randomized, Two-Treatment, Two-Period Crossover Study to Determine the Bioequivalence of a New Fixed Dose Combination Film-Coated Tablet Formulation containing 300 mg Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate and 300 mg Lamivudine against Viread® Film-Coated tablets containing 300 mg Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate and Epivir® Film-Coated Tablets containing 300 mg Lamivudine in healthy males and females under fasting conditions (EDR Link)

Trial Period

June 29, 2017 through August 11, 2017 (First subject first visit to last subject last visit)

Trial Design

Single dose, open label, randomized, two-treatment, two-period crossover study. Subjects randomized to treatment sequence 1 received test treatment in the first period and reference treatment in the second period under fasting conditions and subjects randomized to treatment sequence 2 received reference treatment in period 1 and test treatment in period 2 under fasting conditions. Each treatment was separated by a washout period of at least 7 days.

Test Treatment: Single tablet of 300 mg TDF/300 mg 3TC FDC Reference treatment: One tablet each of EPIVIR® (300 mg) and VIREAD® (300 mg).

Identity of Investigational Products

The batch number and expiry date of the various treatments administered was as follows:

Viread® 300 mg tablets: Batch # 007657; Expiry Date: April 2021 Epivir® 300 mg tablets: Batch # 5ZP1465; Expiry Date: January 2018 TDF/3TC 300 mg/300 mg FDC tablets: Batch # CBAU002F; Expiry Date: December 10, 2017

Sample Collection and Pharmacokinetic Analysis

Blood samples were collected at pre-dose and up to 48 hours post dose to assess the plasma concentrations of 3TC and TFV using LC-MS/MS methods. The PK parameters were calculated by non-compartmental methods based on the actual sampling time intervals.

Results

Table 1 shows the bioanalytical assay parameters.

Table 1: Bioanalytical assay parameters

Link to Reports	TFV and 3TC				
Method Type	LC-MS/MS	Matrix	Human Plasma		
Analytes	TFV and 3TC				
Calibration Range	TFV: 4.69 to 600 ng/mL 3TC: 39.06 to 5000 ng/mL				
Storage Conditions	plasma for 44 da indicates that sta period and the re	ys for at a bility wil esults of the	e stable in human K ₂ EDTA approximately -70°C. Applicant l be assessed over a longer time he assessment will be provided in od validation report.		
QC Sample Concentrations	TFV: 14, 28, 56, 113, 225, 450 and 900 ng/mL (dilution QC) 3TC: 39.06, 117, 234, 469, 938, 1875, 3750 and 7500 ng/mL (dilution QC)				
Precision and Accuracy	1.1%.		3.3 % to 7.7 %; % bias: -7.1 % to 2.1 % to 15.5 %; % bias: -2.1 %		

Source: Bioanalytical report (links are provided in the table).

According to page 12 of the bioanalytical report, the maximum duration of storage of the samples (from the first collection date [July 13, 2017] at the clinical site to the final date of analysis (November 23, 2017) at \sim -70 °C was 134 days (end date included). The applicant demonstrated long term stability for 44 days, hence the available storage stability data does not support the duration of the storage of the plasma samples. The applicant will be asked to provide additional stability data to support the duration of sample storage.

The other components of the bioanalytical method were found to be acceptable.

Subject Disposition and Demographics

Forty-eight (48) subjects were enrolled in the trial. One subject (subject # [61]) withdrew from the trial during treatment period 2 for personal reasons; the remainder of the subjects completed both treatment phases. Subjects had mean (range) weight of 66.46 (50.8-100.2 kg), height 167.5 (148-185 cm), age 26.7 (18-40 years), and BMI 23.66 (19.8-29.3) kg/m².

Protocol Deviations

Protocol deviations were noted from the scheduled sample times for collection of the PK blood samples. Overall, the deviations are not expected to alter the conclusions of the trial because the sponsor used the actual sampling time (and not the scheduled sampling time) for PK analysis.

Concomitant Medications

No prior medication was recorded in the trial. During the trial, Subject bingested 1000 mg paracetamol daily for treatment of toothache. Other concomitant medications recorded were contraceptives which started before administration of the various treatments. The recorded concomitant medications were not considered to have interacted pharmacokinetically with test or reference products' pharmacokinetic profiles. To the Investigator's knowledge, all other subjects adhered to the restrictions.

Pharmacokinetic and Statistical Analysis

TFV:

Table 2 shows the arithmetic mean (\pm SD) of the various pharmacokinetic parameters of TFV for the test and reference product under fasting conditions.

Table 2: Arithmetic mean $(\pm SD)$ of the various pharmacokinetic parameters of TFV for the test and reference product under fasting conditions

Statistic	C _{max} (ng/mL)	AUC _(0-t) (h*ng/mL)	$\begin{array}{c} AUC_{(0\text{-}\infty)} \\ \\ (h^*ng/mL) \end{array}$	T _{max} (h)	λ_z (/h)	t½.z (h)
Test Product (T)						
n	47	47	47	47	47	47
Arithmetic Mean	289.8	1940	2191	1.081	0.04432	15.82
SD	79.90	522.4	603.6	0.411	0.004737	1.745
Reference Product (R)						
n	47	47	47	47	47	47
Arithmetic Mean	313.6	1971	2224	0.872	0.04431	15.89
SD	89.30	472.9	556.3	0.364	0.005543	2.068

Source: Clinical Trial Report, Page 56.

Table 3 shows the geometric least squares mean, ratio of geometric least squares mean, intra subject variability, power and 90 % CI of various PK parameters of TFV after administration of the test product and reference product under fasting conditions.

Table 3: Geometric least squares mean, ratio of geometric least squares mean, intra subject variability, power and 90 % CI of various PK parameters of TFV after administration of the test product and reference product under fasting conditions

Parameters	Geometric LS Mean				% Ratio	90% Confidence Interval for ln-transformed data		
(Unit)	Test (T)	Reference (R)	T/R	Lower Limit	Upper Limit			
AUC _{0-inf} (h*ng/mL)	2110.65	2160.87	97.68	93.70	101.82			
$\begin{array}{c} AUC_{0\text{-t}} \\ (h*ng/mL) \end{array}$	1871.10	1919.95	97.46	93.64	101.42			
$C_{max}(ng/mL)$	278.54	302.73	92.01	86.98	97.33			

Source: Clinical Trial Report, Page 57.

3TC:

Table 4 shows the arithmetic mean (\pm SD) of the various pharmacokinetic parameters of 3TC for the test and reference product under fasting conditions.

Table 4: Arithmetic mean $(\pm SD)$ of the various pharmacokinetic parameters of 3TC for the test and reference product under fasting conditions.

Statistic	C _{max} (ng/mL)	AUC _(0-t) (h*ng/mL)	$\begin{array}{c} AUC_{(\theta\text{-}\infty)} \\ (h^*ng/mL) \end{array}$	T _{max} (h)	λ _z (/h)	t½.z (h)
Test Product (T)						
n	47	4 7	47	47	47	47
Arithmetic Mean	2680	10950	11240	1.345	0.2061	3.750
SD	639.9	2569	2557	0.471	0.05808	1.528
Reference Product (R)				•	
n	47	4 7	47	47	47	47
Arithmetic Mean	2671	11050	11370	1.228	0.1978	4.069
SD	785.8	2612	2586	0.433	0.05222	2.957

Source: Clinical Study Report; page 57.

Table 5 shows the geometric least squares mean, ratio of geometric least squares mean, intra subject variability, power and 90 % CI of various PK parameters of 3TC after administration of the test product and reference product under fasting conditions.

Table 5: Geometric least squares mean, ratio of geometric least squares mean, intra subject variability, power and 90 % CI of various PK parameters of 3TC after administration of the test product and reference product under fasting conditions

Parameters	Geometric LS Mean				% Ratio	90% Confide for ln-trans	ence Interval formed data
Tarameters	Test (T)	Reference (R)	T/R	Lower Limit	Upper Limit		
AUC _{0-inf} (h*ng/mL)	10974.94	11095.51	98.91	94.48	103.55		
$\begin{array}{c} AUC_{0\text{-t}} \\ (h*ng/mL) \end{array}$	10670.93	10762.62	99.15	94.52	104.00		
$C_{max}(ng/mL)$	2606.19	2570.20	101.40	96.05	107.05		

Source: Clinical Study Report; page 58.

Conclusion

The results of the trial demonstrate similarity in the systemic exposures of TFV and 3TC after administration of the test and reference product under fasting conditions.

Trial #: CT-G02 1.1 ((b) (4) Study # (b) (4))

Title

A Single Center, Single-Dose, Open-Label, Laboratory-Blind, Two-Treatment, Two-Period Randomized, Crossover Determine the Bioequivalence of a New Fixed Dose Combination Film-Coated Tablet Formulation containing 300 mg Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate and 300 mg Lamivudine against Viread® Film-Coated tablets containing 300 mg Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate and Epivir® Film-Coated Tablets containing 300 mg Lamivudine in healthy males and females under fed conditions (EDR Link)

Trial Period

July 7, 2017 through August 3, 2017 (First subject first visit to last subject last visit)

Trial Design

Single dose, open label, randomized, two-treatment, two-period crossover study. After an overnight fast of at least 10 hours, subjects received a high fat, high calorie breakfast (989.8 kcal, 66.1 gms fat). Subjects randomized to treatment sequence 1 received test treatment in the first period and reference treatment in the second period under fed conditions and subjects randomized to treatment sequence 2 received reference treatment in period 1 and test treatment in period 2 under fed conditions. Each treatment was separated by a washout period of at least 7 days.

Test Treatment: Single tablet of 300 mg TDF/300 mg 3TC FDC Reference treatment: One tablet each of EPIVIR® (300 mg) and VIREAD® (300 mg).

Identity of Investigational Products

The batch number and expiry date of the various treatments administered was as follows:

Viread® 300 mg tablets: Batch # 007657; Expiry Date: April 2021 Epivir® 300 mg tablets: Batch # 5ZP1465; Expiry Date: January 2018 TDF/3TC 300 mg/300 mg FDC tablets: Batch # CBAU002F; Expiry Date: December 10, 2017

Sample Collection and Pharmacokinetic Analysis

Blood samples were collected at pre-dose and up to 48 hours post dose to assess the plasma concentrations of 3TC and TFV using LC-MS/MS methods. The PK parameters were calculated by non-compartmental methods based on the actual sampling time intervals.

Results

Table 1 shows the bioanalytical assay parameters.

Table 1: Bioanalytical assay parameters

Link to Reports	TFV and 3TC			
Method Type	LC-MS/MS	Matrix	Human Plasma	
Analytes	TFV and 3TC			
Calibration Range	TFV: 4.69 to 60	_		
	3TC: 39.06 to 5	5000 ng/n	ıL	
Storage Conditions	TFV and 3TC shown to be stable in human K ₂ EDTA plasma for 44 days for at approximately -70°C. Applicant indicates that stability will be assessed over a longer time period and the results of the assessment will be provided in an addendum to the method validation report.			
QC Sample	TFV: 14, 28, 56	, 113, 225	5, 450 ng/mL	
Concentrations	3TC: 117, 234,	469, 938	, 1875, 3750 ng/mL	
Precision and Accuracy	0.9%.		2.5 % to 4.6 %; % bias: -0.7 % to 2.9 % to 5 %; % bias: -0.7 % to	

Source: Bioanalytical report (links are provided in the table).

According to page 12 of the bioanalytical report, the maximum duration of storage of the samples (from the first collection date [July 24, 2017] at the clinical site to the final date of analysis (November 29, 2017) at \sim -70 °C was 129 days (end date included). The applicant demonstrated demonstrated long term stability for 44 days, hence the available storage stability data does not support the duration of the storage of the plasma samples. The applicant will be asked to provide additional stability data to support the duration of sample storage.

The other components of the bioanalytical method were found to be acceptable.

Subject Disposition and Demographics

Forty-eight (48) subjects were enrolled in the trial. Three subjects were withdrawn from the study during treatment period 1 because of protocol violations; forty-five (45) subjects completed both treatment phases. Subjects had mean (range) weight of 67.66 (50.8-88.3 kg), height 167.4 (148-185 cm), age 25.6 (18-37 years), and BMI 24.16 (18.5-29.8) kg/m².

Protocol Deviations

- Deviations from the scheduled sampling times for collection of the PK blood samples were reported, however, the deviations are not expected to alter the conclusions of the trial because the sponsor used the actual sampling time (and not the scheduled sampling time) for PK analysis.
- Due to an oversight by the study staff, Subject (b) started eating the high-fat, high-calorie breakfast 31 minutes (instead of 30 minutes) prior to administration of the investigational products in Treatment Period 1.
- At 12:00 on 25 July 2017 (Day 2 of Treatment Period 1) Subject (b) consumed food containing citrus fruit (slice of lemon). This incident was reported on Day 2 when the 36-hour post-dose PK sample was collected at 19:34. The subject continued in the study at the discretion of the investigator.
- During the washout period, Subject (b) (6) ingested 15 mg of meloxicam, a NSAID, on 29 July 2017 and on 30 July 2017 as prescribed by the investigator. In total 30 mg meloxicam was ingested. The subject complaint about persistent pain in his left foot that was not relieved by using paracetamol tablets as prescribed by the investigator. Due to the discomfort and pain, the use of NSAIDs as concomitant medication for this subject was carefully considered by the investigator when prescribed.
- Due to an oversight by the study staff, the pre-dose vital signs for Subjects of the -90 minutes specification according to the Window Allowance Document (WAD).

Overall, none of the deviations listed above are expected to alter the conclusions of the trial.

Pharmacokinetic and Statistical Analysis

Out of the 45 subjects who completed the study, one subject (subject # [6]) was excluded from the PK analysis population as the last sample was collected 23.5 hours late and the PK parameter calculation was not conducted. Hence, the data from 44 subjects were included in the pharmacokinetic and statistical analysis.

TFV:

Table 2 shows the arithmetic mean (\pm SD) of the various pharmacokinetic parameters of TFV for the test and reference product under fed conditions.

Table 2: Arithmetic mean $(\pm SD)$ of the various pharmacokinetic parameters of TFV for the test and reference product under fed conditions

C _{max} (ng/mL)	AUC _(0-t) (h*ng/mL)	AUC _(0-∞) (h*ng/mL)	T _{max} (h)	λ _z (/h)	t _{½.z} (h)
•			•		
44	44	44	44	44	44
297.3	2413	2683	1.580	0.04724	14.77
93.46	549.5	607.2	0.653	0.003906	1.244
R)	•	•	•		
44	44	44	44	44	44
284.4	2388	2665	1.320	0.04656	15.07
91.32	585.6	652.6	0.484	0.005214	1.705
	(ng/mL) 44 297.3 93.46 R) 44 284.4	(ng/mL) (h*ng/mL) 44 44 297.3 2413 93.46 549.5 R) 44 44 284.4 2388	(ng/mL) (h*ng/mL) (h*ng/mL) 44 44 44 297.3 2413 2683 93.46 549.5 607.2 R) 44 44 44 284.4 2388 2665	(ng/mL) (h*ng/mL) (h*ng/mL) (h) 44 44 44 44 297.3 2413 2683 1.580 93.46 549.5 607.2 0.653 R) 44 44 44 44 284.4 2388 2665 1.320	(ng/mL) (h*ng/mL) (h*ng/mL) (h) (/h) 44 44 44 44 44 297.3 2413 2683 1.580 0.04724 93.46 549.5 607.2 0.653 0.003906 R) 44 44 44 44 44 284.4 2388 2665 1.320 0.04656

Source: Clinical Trial Report, Page 58.

Table 3 shows the geometric least squares mean, ratio of geometric least squares mean, intra subject variability, power and 90 % CI of various PK parameters of TFV after administration of the test product and reference product under fed conditions.

Table 3: Geometric least squares mean, ratio of geometric least squares mean, intra subject variability, power and 90 % CI of various PK parameters of TFV after administration of the test product and reference product under fed conditions

Parameters	Geometric LS Mean		% Ratio		e Interval for ln- med data
1 alametel 3	Test (T)	Reference (R)	T/R	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
$\mathrm{AUC}_{0\text{-}\mathrm{inf}}$	2612.12	2585.89	101.01	97.67	104.47
$\mathrm{AUC}_{0\text{-t}}$	2349.05	2316.27	101.42	98.00	104.94
C_{max}	282.39	270.38	104.44	99.09	110.08

Source: Clinical Trial Report, Page 59.

3TC:

Table 4 shows the arithmetic mean (\pm SD) of the various pharmacokinetic parameters of 3TC for the test and reference product under fed conditions.

Table 4: Arithmetic mean $(\pm SD)$ of the various pharmacokinetic parameters of 3TC for the test and reference product under fed conditions

	Cmax	AUC(0-t)	AUC (0-∞)	Tmax	λz	t½.z
Statistic	(ng/mL)	(h*ng/mL)	(h*ng/mL)	(h)	(/ h)	(h)
Test Product (T)		·	•	•	•	
n	44	44	44	44	44	44
Arithmetic Mean	2334	9375	9649	1.508	0.2119	3.465
SD	533.9	1599	1613	0.578	0.04566	0.9722
Reference Product	(R)					
n	44	44	44	44	44	44
Arithmetic Mean	2253	9444	9779	1.477	0.1950	4.477
SD	567.4	1637	1654	0.675	0.06094	3.673

Source: Clinical Study Report; page 59.

Table 5 shows the geometric least squares mean, ratio of geometric least squares mean, intra subject variability, power and 90 % CI of various PK parameters of 3TC after administration of the test product and reference product under fed conditions.

Table 5: Geometric least squares mean, ratio of geometric least squares mean, intra subject variability, power and 90 % CI of various PK parameters of 3TC after administration of the test product and reference product under fed conditions

Parameters	Geometric LS Mean		% Ratio		e Interval for In- med data
r at ameters	Test (T)	Reference (R)	T/R	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
$\mathrm{AUC}_{0\text{-}\mathrm{inf}}$	9515.95	9639.33	98.72	95.99	101.53
AUC _{0-t}	9241.21	9301.60	99.35	96.54	102.24
C_{max}	2271.48	2183.36	104.04	97.87	110.59

Source: Clinical Study Report; page 60.

Conclusion

The results of the trial demonstrate similarity in the systemic exposures of TFV and 3TC after administration of the test and reference product under fed conditions.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed
electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all
electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/ -----

VIKRAM ARYA 09/13/2018

ISLAM R YOUNIS 09/13/2018